

## **DEVELOPMENT CONTROL & LICENSING COMMITTEE**

**10<sup>TH</sup> DECEMBER 2015**

### **FINAL ADDENDUM REPORT CONTENTS**

**REPORT NO: 238/2015**

#### **Planning applications to be determined by the Development Control & Licensing Committee**

<b>Item no.</b>	<b>Application no.</b>	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>Parishes</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>2014/1003/MAJ</b>	<b>RES UK AND IRELAND</b>	<b>CLIPSHAM</b> <b>EMPINGHAM</b> <b>GREETHAM</b> <b>PICKWORTH</b>

#### **Additional neighbour responses**

5 additional responses have been received following the publication of the committee report in relation to the proposal. These raise the following issues; in addition to those already identified in the main report (issues already identified in the main report are not reproduced here).

The blimps flown from the site on the 26<sup>th</sup> November 2015 make it clear that the proposal would cause substantial harm to Fort Henry (grade II\*) and Exton Park (grade II listed parkland). They could be seen throughout the park, and from the interior and exterior of Fort Henry, despite not being at the closest locations to the Park.

The blimps show the turbines will dominate the skyline from Stretton Lakes.

The blimps were an unimaginative and unrealistic portrayal of how the site would look, and did not give the right impression. It was a disappointing attempt to show the height of the proposed turbines.

Development of the disused airfield for solar panels would be less obtrusive and more easily blended into the local countryside.

#### **Letters to members**

The letter from the Woolfox Windfarm Action Group reaffirming its position to members is noted, however as members will have received a copy its contents are not reproduced here.

The letter from the applicant to members regarding the officer's report is also noted, however as members will have received a copy its contents are not reproduced here.

#### **Correction to paragraph 78s**

The third line of this paragraph should refer to The Plains rather than The Drift.